





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/733,673	12/08/2000	Yoshitami Sakaguchi	JP919990237US1(13998)	2711
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard L. Catania, Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	18
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	; <i>U</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/733,673	SAKAGUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonid Shapiro	2673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	MONTH(S) FROM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 May 2004.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice up	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11,13,15 and 16</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,10,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for the attached detailed Office action for the action f	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen —	t(s)					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. (US Patent No. 6,211,849 B1) in view of Arsenault et al. (US Patent No. 6, 658,661 B1) and Hamai (US Patent No. 5,148,263).

As to claim 1, Sasaki et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device with: a crystal cell forms an image display area on substrate (See Fig.2, item 22, in description See from Col. 2, Line 50 to Col.4, Line 3); a driver for applying a voltage to liquid crystal cell based on an input video signal, wherein driver includes a plurality of driver ICs that mounted on substrate (See Figs. 2-3, items 1-3,10,23 in description See Col. 4, Lines) and a plurality of signal lines, each of the signal lines passing through each of the driver ICs in series, wherein driver ICs are cascade-connected in series using signal lines (See Fig. 3, items 1-3,10, in description See Col. 4, Lines 34-43), wherein plurality of driver IC are cascade-connected to a power feed line (See Fig. 3, items 1, VDD, Col. 4, Lines 28-34).

Sasaki et al. does not show the driver receives a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC.

Arsenault et al. teaches to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. controller using Arsenault et al. approach to generate a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC since digital packets and masking has been well known and inexpensive.

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. do not show connections to a power feed line via a metal layer inside of each driver ICs.

Hamai teaches outside power pad being connected to inside metal layers (See Fig. 1, items 2, 6, Col. 1, Lines 17-35).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Hamai teaching into Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. system in order to reduce area of power supply lines inside of the chip (See Col. 1, Lines 60-65 in the Hamai reference).

As to claim 4, Sasaki et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device with: a crystal cell forms an image display area on substrate (See Fig.2, item 22, in description See from Col. 2, Line 50 to Col.4, Line 3); a driver for distributing an input video signal to a plurality of driver ICs chain-connected in series using a plurality of signal lines (See Figs. 2-3, items 1-3,10,23 in description See Col. 4, Lines), each of the signal lines

passing through each of the driver ICs in series, and for applying a voltage to LCD cell by employing driver ICs, wherein driver distributes video signal to plurality of driver ICs (See Fig. 3, items 1-3,10, in description See Col. 4, Lines 34-43).

Sasaki et al. does not show the driver receives a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC.

Arsenault et al. teaches to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. controller using Arsenault et al. approach to generate a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC since digital packets and masking has been well known and inexpensive.

As to claim 6, Sasaki et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising: a liquid crystal cell forms an image display area on substrate (See Fig.2, item 22, in description See from Col. 2, Line 50 to Col.4, Line 3); a driver for distributing an input video signal to a plurality of driver ICs that are cascade-connected (See Figs. 2-3, items 1-3,10,23 in description See Col. 4, Lines 27-45), and for applying a voltage to LC cell by employing driver ICs (see Fig. 3, items 1-3,10, in description See Col. 4, Lines 34-43 and Col. 3, Lines 1-10), wherein plurality of driver ICs of driver are cascade connected

in series by a video transmission line provided on substrate, video transmission line passing through each of driver IC's in series and controlled by serial control that are transmitted along video transmission line (See Figs, 3-4, items 1-2, 10, DATA, CNT, in Description See Col. 4, Line 28-67), wherein plurality of driver IC are cascade-connected to a power feed line (See Fig. 3, items 1, VDD, Col. 4, Lines 28-34).

Sasaki et al. does not show the driver controlled by serial data line and receives a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC.

Arsenault et al. teaches to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. controller using Arsenault et al. approach to the driver controlled by serial data line and generate a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC since digital packets and masking has been well known and inexpensive.

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. do not show connections to a clock and power line via a metal layer inside of each driver ICs.

Hamai teaches outside power pad being connected to inside metal layers (See Fig. 1, items 2, 6, Col. 1, Lines 17-35).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Hamai teaching into Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. system including a clock line in order to reduce area of power supply lines inside of the chip (See Col. 1, Lines 60-65 in the Hamai reference).

As to claim 7, Sasaki et al. does not teach about a second signal line for which the polarity of first signal line has been inverted. As notoriously well known in the art a line with polarity of first signal line has been inverted could be easily implemented.

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to add a second signal line for which the polarity of first signal line has been inverted to Sasaki et al., and Arsenault et al. apparatus in order to reduce size and increase reliability of the LCD display device.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai as aforementioned in claim 4 in view of Shimizu (US Patent No. 5,801,674).

As to claim 5, Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai do not teach downstream driver applies a voltage to LC cell in accordance with input video signal after receiving masking signal from upstream driver IC.

Shimizu teaches about downstream driver applies a voltage to LC cell in accordance with input video signal after receiving masking signal from upstream driver IC (See Fig. 1, items 3-6, ENABLE1-ENABLE6, in description See Col. 3, Lines 67-68 and Col. 4, Lines 1-18).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to use approach as shown by Shimizu in the Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai apparatus to apply in downstream driver a voltage to LC cell in accordance with input video signal after receiving masking signal from upstream driver IC in order to suppress a disturbance of image on the panel (See Col. 3, Lines 20-21 in Taguchi et al. reference).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai as aforementioned in claim 1 in view of Babcock et al. (US Patent No. 5,623,519).

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai do not teach about receiving video signal consisting of serial data, and wherein video signal is synchronized based on a synchronization pattern included in the serial data.

Babcock et al. shows how to synchronize serial stream based on a synchronization pattern included in the serial data (See Fig. 1, items 410, 430, in description See Col.1, Lines 48-67 and Col.7, Lines 42-54).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Babcock et al. approach in Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai apparatus in order to reduce size and increase reliability of the LCD display device.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai as aforementioned in claim 6 in view of Komarek et al. (US Patent No. 5,825,777).

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai do not show a dummy circuit for substantially matching a video phase and a clock phase.

Komarek et al. teaches a dummy circuit matching operational characteristics modulating circuits (See Col. 10, Lines 7-18).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement dummy circuit as shown by Komarek et al. in Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Hamai apparatus in order to reduce size and increase reliability of the LCD display device.

5. Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arsenault et al. in view of Sasaki et al. and Hamai.

Arsenault et al. teaches receiver for receiving a video signal from a host (See Fig. 2, item 36, in description See Col.5, Lines 42-67); a sequencer for, upon the receipt of a control signal from host, generating header information for packet data, generating header information, based on a table, generated by sequencer to form a digital packet (See Fig. 2, item 60, in description See Col.6, Lines 1-6), to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

Arsenault et al. does not teach LCD driver comprising a plurality of driver ICs and video transmission line passing through each of the driver ICs in series, wherein driver ICs are cascade connected in series and output means for converting video signal received from receiver into a serial video signal.

Sasaki et al. teaches and a plurality of signal lines, each of the signal lines passing through each of the driver ICs in series, wherein driver ICs are cascade-connected in series using signal lines (See Fig. 3, items 1-3,10, in description See Col. 4, Lines 34-43).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. approach in Arsenault et al. apparatus and to output means for converting video signal received from receiver into a serial video signal in order to provide a liquid crystal display device capable of achieving a larger screen size or higher resolution, without unnecessarily increasing dimensions (See Col. 2, Lines 26-30 in the Sasaki et al. reference).

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. do not show connections to a power feed line via a metal layer inside of each driver ICs.

Hamai teaches outside power pad being connected to inside metal layers (See Fig. 1, items 2, 6, Col. 1, Lines 17-35).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Hamai teaching into Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. system in order to reduce area of power supply lines inside of the chip (See Col. 1, Lines 60-65 in the Hamai reference).

Art Unit: 2673

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. in view of Arsenault et al., Kubota et al. (US Patent No. 6,335,778 B1) and Hamai.

Sasaki et al. teaches a video signal transmission method, for transmitting a video signal to an LCD driver which has a plurality of driver ICs and a video transmission line (See Figs. 2-3, items 1-3,10,23 in description See Col. 4, Lines 27-45), driver ICs in series via a serial interface wherein the video transmission line passes through each of the driver ICs in series, and the driver ICs are cascade connected in series by video transmission line (See Figs, 3-4, items 1-2, 10, DATA, CNT, in Description See Col. 4, Line 28-67).

Sasaki et al. does not show transmitting a digital packet signal including video signal and each driver IC selectively generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC.

Arsenault et al. teaches to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. controller using Arsenault et al. approach to the driver controlled by serial data line and generate a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to

mask video data output from the driver IC since digital packets and masking has been well known and inexpensive.

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. do not teach transmitting a synchronization pattern during horizontal blanking period in order to synchronize video signal for driver ICs.

Kubota et al. teaches synchronization with clock signal during the horizontal blanking period (See Fig. 5, items CKS, TRF, in description Se Col. 9, Lines 39-46).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. controller using Kubota et al. approach transmitting a synchronization pattern during horizontal blanking period in order to synchronize video signal for driver ICs in order to be less affected by non-uniform properties of elements and that consume much less power (See Col. 4, Lines 51-54 in the Kubota et al. reference).

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Kubota et al. do not show connections to a power feed line via a metal layer inside of each driver ICs.

Hamai teaches outside power pad being connected to inside metal layers (See Fig. 1, items 2, 6, Col. 1, Lines 17-35).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Hamai teaching into Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Kubota et al. system in order to reduce area of power supply lines inside of the chip (See Col. 1, Lines 60-65 in the Hamai reference).

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. in view of Arsenault et al. and Jayavant et al. (US Patent No. 6,204,864 B1), Hamai.

Sasaki et al. teaches a video signal transmission method, for transmitting a video signal to an LCD driver which has a plurality of driver ICs that are cascade connected (See Figs. 2-3, items 1-3,10,23 in description See Col. 4, Lines 27-45), transmitting a video signal via a serial interface wherein the video transmission line passes through each of the driver ICs in series, applying to an LCD a voltage based on video signal that is received and that is to be processed by each of driver ICs (See Figs, 3-4, items 1-2, 10, DATA, CNT, in Description See Col. 4, Line 28-67).

Sasaki et al. does not show transmitting a digital packet signal including video signal and each driver IC selectively generating a mask signal to mask video data output from the driver IC.

Arsenault et al. teaches to generate a digital packet information including input video signal (See Fig. 2, items 58, 60, 72, 84, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-10) an how to filter incoming data by bit mask (See Fig. 5, item 140, in description See Col. 7, Lines 23-34 and Col. 2, Lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. controller using Arsenault et al. approach to the driver controlled by serial data line and generate a digital packet signal including input video signal and each driver IC includes a controller for generating a mask signal to

mask video data output from the driver IC since digital packets and masking has been well known and inexpensive.

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. do not teach video signal is constituted by bit blocks having plurality of attributes and wherein driver ICs are controlled by using bit blocks.

Jayavant et al. teaches bit blocks transfer and the font attribute (See Figs. 4-5, items 41,48, in description See Col. 5, Lines 56-58).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al. controller using Jayavant et al. et al. approach to video signal constituted by bit blocks having plurality of attributes and wherein driver ICs are controlled by using bit blocks in order to maximize memory bandwidth for screen refresh(See Col. 4, Lines 11-13 in the Jayavant et al. reference).

Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Jayavant et al. do not show connections to a power feed line via a metal layer inside of each driver ICs.

Hamai teaches outside power pad being connected to inside metal layers (See Fig. 1, items 2, 6, Col. 1, Lines 17-35).

It would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to implement Hamai teaching into Sasaki et al. and Arsenault et al., Jayavant et al. system in order to reduce area of power supply lines inside of the chip (See Col. 1, Lines 60-65 in the Hamai reference).

Application/Control Number: 09/733,673 Page 14

Art Unit: 2673

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 05-11-04 with respect to claim 1, 3, 6-7, 9-10, 12, 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 05-11-04 in relation to claims. 4-5 have been fully

considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 11, 3rd paragraph of Remarks, Applicant's stated, that as described in

claim 4, feature of providing signal from upstream driver to a down stream driver IC.

However, Claim 4 does not have this limitation: "providing signal from upstream driver

to a down stream driver IC".

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 11, 13, 15-16 are allowed.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

Relative to claim 11, the major difference between the teaching of the prior art

of record (US Patent No. 6,211,849 B1, Sasaki et al. and US Patent No. 6,658,661 B1,

Arsenault et al.) and the instant invention is that the said prior art does not teach output

means provide header information used for synchronization during a horizontal blanking

period.

Relative to claim 13, the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (US Patent No. 6,211,849 B1, Sasaki et al., US Patent No. 6,335,778 B1, Kubota et al., and US Patent No. 6,658,661 B1, Arsenault et al.) and the instant invention is that the said prior art **does not teach** synchronization pattern is transmitted for at least at two cycles, and wherein, during the period in which video signal is transmitted, driver ICs conform to synchronization pattern.

Relative to claim 15, the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (US Patent No. 6,211,849 B1, Sasaki et al., US Patent No. 6,335,778 B1, Kubota et al., and US Patent No. 5,642,136, Jayavant et al.) and the instant invention is that the said prior art **does not teach** one of bit blocks includes a wait command for waiting for driver ICs, and wherein wait command is generated by each of driver ICs that processes video signal and transmitted to a downstream driver that is cascadeconnected.

Relative to claim 16, the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (US Patent No. 6,211,849 B1, Sasaki et al., US Patent No. 6,335,778 B1, Kubota et al., and US Patent No. 5,642,136, Jayavant et al.) and the instant invention is that the said prior art **does not teach** video signal is transmitted to LCD driver by using a packet, and wherein plurality of driver ICs are controlled by a protocol that employs the header of packet.

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

Page 16

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

The Seo et al. (US Patent No. 5,768,174) reference disclosed power metal connections.

Tavallaei (US Patent 6,360,291 B1) disclosed mask signal to down stream.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2673

Ls 07-19-04

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 17